

007037-000450: JJE 353180

## REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing the subject matter of amended claims 51 and 52, and for pointing-out that the amended claims as filed on June 16, 2005 did not comply with current amendment practice as outlined by rule 1.121(c)(2).

This response is being submitted after receiving a Second Advisory Action mailed on June 28, 2005. The Second Advisory was sent with regard to a response filed by Applicants on June 16, 2005.

In the Second Advisory Action, the Examiner refused to enter amended claims 51 and 52 because they contained brackets [ ] that were either not underlined or superfluous. The Second Advisory Action also noted that, "Applicants' reply has overcome the following rejections(s): Had the amendment been entered, objection to claims 51 and 52 would be overcome". Second Advisory, page 2.

Accordingly, Applicants have re-written claims 51 and 52 to fully conform with rule 1.211(c)(2). In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of this application containing claims 51 and 52 is requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone if there are any questions about this submission or other matters that may be readily addressed by telephone to expedite the allowance of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 

John J. Emanuele, Jr., Reg. No. 51,653  
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLC  
Bank One Center/Tower  
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700  
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5137  
(317) 634-3456

Response to Final Action Second Advisory Action  
Serial No. 10/024,066  
Page 3 of 3